

Reg.-No.: CR 15/2016

Decision: 121

ADAC GT Masters Red Bull Ring

ADAC GT Masters

DECISION

The Stewards of the Meeting decide, after having received a report from the Technical Delegate, which is attached and self explanatory concerning

Competition No., Driver: **25, SANDSTRÖM, Edward** (License No. 197901046677)

Competitor: **kfzteile24 APR Motorsport** (License No. BIF 1176483)

due to: **Non compliance of technical regulations**

Time: **16:41**, Session: **After Race 2**

After hearing no one
and after having examined the following evidence

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Video submitted | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Report | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photos |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Time Keeping Report | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> see documents attached | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Witness | | |

the stewards came to the following

DECISION

Exclusion from race 2. All other competitors move up in the result. Additionally, the case is forwarded to the DMSB Sporting Court for further investigation.

In accordance with Art. 12.2.3.b ISC, the decision set out above will be suspended in case of an appeal.

FINDINGS

Fact: After Race 2, car #25 was found with an additional construction in the intake system. The additional construction is not in compliance with the FIA GT 3 Homologation (GT3-038) of the car. For further details please refer to Section "Statements".

Offence: Art. 2.5 Sporting Regulations ADAC GT Masters 2016

announced on **24.07.2016** at **17:20** hours

- The decision is sent with registered letter and acknowledgement of receipt

Felix Holter

Carsten Ruscher

Walter Jobst

I herewith confirm having received a copy of the decision and the instruction about my right to appeal.

I renounce on my right to appeal.

Spielberg, 24.07.2016

Time: _____

kfzteile24 APR Motorsport: _____

Reg.-No.: CR 15/2016

Decision: 121

STATEMENTS

Statements made by driver / competitor / witness:

Additional facts:

The additional construction modifies the geometry of the air restrictor, which then is not in compliance with the drawing of the restrictor registered with the FIA. The car is not in the same condition as presented to the FIA BoP test. A performance advantage cannot be ruled out.

Note:

Driver and competitor of car no. 25 were summoned by the Stewards but were not present at the circuit any more as confirmed in writing by a team mechanic. The decision is therefore taken in absence.

Additional information in the appendix